



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,604	03/31/2004	Thomas Palmieri	2006P26237 US	4357
28524	7590	02/22/2010	EXAMINER	
SIEMENS CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830			WRIGHT, PATRICIA KATHRYN	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1797				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/22/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/813,604	PALMIERI ET AL.
	Examiner P. Kathryn Wright	Art Unit 1797

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) P. Kathryn Wright. (3) _____.

(2) Vincent DeLuca (Applicant's representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2010 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all of record.

Claims discussed:

39-43

Prior art documents discussed:

Carey et al., (US Patent No.5,827,478)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/P. Kathryn Wright/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner called Mr. DeLuca to propose introducing language into claims 39 to overcome the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection and art rejection over Carey. Mr. DeLuca agreed to contact Applicant's with proposed amendment however, the examiner did not receive a timely response. A new Official non-final action on the merits follows. .